



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,593	04/09/2004	Richard Alan Morgal	MORGAL-11-CIP	1563
7590 William C. Boling, Esq. 5656 Hamill Avenue San Diego, CA 92120		05/02/2007	EXAMINER HALL, ASHA J	
			ART UNIT 1709	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/02/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/821,593	MORGAL, RICHARD ALAN
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Asha Hall	1709

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/2005.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claims 2-7 and 9-13 are objected to because of the following informalities:  
in regard to claims 2-7 the statements set forth in (d) is different in each claim, and with respect to claims 9-13 the statements set forth in (f) is different in each claim, thus causing confusion as to all d or all f are equivalent structural elements to all other d or f elements.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-4,7-10,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cluff (4,771,764).

In regard to claim 1, Cluff discloses a solar converter apparatus for converting incoming light to electricity (col.5; lines: 30-35) in Figure 1, comprising:

- a) a support structure (32) for floating on a liquid bath (34), the structure having:  
i) a substantially fixed relationship to an incoming light axis that is parallel to useful incoming light (col.4; lines: 39-51);

- ii) motor (45) rotates an elevation rotation axis/moving mechanism at a fixed azimuth alignment angle (col. 4; lines: 63-68) from the incoming light axis, the support structure being rotatable/pivot about the elevation rotation axis (col.4; lines: 52-58), and
  - iii) depicted in Figure 13 and 14 are guidance interface (32) features connecting the support structure/system of connecting rods to a guidance frame (63) that aligns the elevation rotation axis (64) at the fixed azimuth alignment angle to an azimuth of the source of incoming light/solar tracking, and that provide a rotation reference for the support structure (63) rotation about the elevation rotation axis (64) to align the incoming light axis with the source of incoming light/solar tracking (col.6; lines:40-47);
- b) depicted in Figure 1 is at least one photovoltaic conversion device (42) mounted within the support structure (32) and adapted for converting concentrated sunlight into electricity (col.5; lines: 52-56); and
- c) a lens (33) coupled to the support structure for guiding light that is parallel to the incoming light axis in Figure 1 and is received over a receiving region (40) toward a conversion device (42) that is mounted within the support structure (32), the conversion device (42) having an active area/solar cells (43) that is smaller than an area of the receiving region (40) (col.1; lines: 56-60).

With respect to claim 2, Cluff discloses that the liquid bath (34) in Figure 1, is a coolant that provides primary cooling of the conversion device (42) through thermal contact with an exterior of the support structure (32)(col.3; lines: 21-26).

With respect to claim 3, Cluff discloses that the support structure (32) is a first support structure (32), and is disposed in contact with a liquid bath (34) in an array of support structures (40), substantially abutting adjacent support structures(40) that each have an elevation rotation axis parallel to and in a plane with the elevation rotation axis of the first support structure (32) in Figure 1.

With respect to claim 4, Cluff discloses that the light parallel to the incoming light axis that enters with uniform density across an entire surface of the lens exits the lens at angles/orienting with respect to the incoming light axis (col.1; lines: 56-69), an average of all such exiting light angles defining a light delivery axis, the light delivery axis having a significant non-zero angle with respect to the incoming light axis (col.4; lines: 66-69) in Figure 1.

In regard to claim 7, Cluff discloses that the incoming light axis is aligned with a light source elevation angle in Figure 1, and the support structure (32) floats in a coolant bath (34) that has an average surface plane (iv) a device (43) mounting site within the support structure (32), upon which a photovoltaic converter device (46) is mounted, which during operation is below the coolant bath (34) average surface plane for all light source elevation angles within 45 degrees from vertical in Figure 13 oriented at 45.

With respect to claim 8, Cluff discloses the method of collecting incoming light for conversion to electricity (col.5; lines: 30-35), comprising:

- a) mounting a conversion device (43) at a mounting site within a support structure (32) having an elevation rotation axis;
- b) coupling a lens (33) to the support structure (32) to concentrate and guide incident light arriving parallel to an incoming light axis toward the conversion device (43);
- c) floating the support structure (32) on a liquid bath (34);
- d) aligning the support structure (32) so that the elevation rotation axis (35, 45) is at an azimuth alignment angle with respect to a source of light energy (col.4; lines: 54-58); and
- e) rotating the support structure (32) about the elevation rotation axis to align the incoming light axis toward the source of light energy (col.6; line: 3-10).

In regard to claim 9, Cluff discloses wherein the support structure (32), lens (33) and conversion device (43) are part of a first collection pontoon/floats and the liquid bath (34) is a coolant bath having an average surface plane in Figure 1 comprising: cooling the conversion device (43) primarily through thermal contact between the liquid bath (34) and an exterior of the support structure (32).

With respect to claim 10, Cluff discloses the first collection pontoon/floats in an array (40) with adjacent collection pontoons/floats that each has an elevation rotation axis (45) parallel to and in a plane with the elevation rotation axis (45) of the support structure (32) of the first collection pontoon/floats (col.4; lines: 39-41).

In regard to claim 13, Cluff discloses positioning the conversion device (43) mounting site below the coolant bath (34) average surface plane for all light source elevation angles within 45 degrees from vertical as showing Figure 13.

With respect to claim 14, Cluff discloses incorporating a light source direction sensor within each pontoon (col.4; lines:58-64).

4. Claims 15-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Genequand et al. (4,238,246).

In regard to claim 15, Genequand et al. discloses a lens device (11) for directing light to a solar energy collection device (col.3; lines: 6-9), the lens device (11) comprising an overall light-receiving region (11,19) configured to receive incident light along predefined paths in Figure 1, the overall light-receiving region (11,19) including a plurality of light-receiving sub regions/slats (39) that each receive incoming sub region/slats (39) light with a predefined relative density distribution along predefined paths (Figure 1), wherein each sub region/slats is configured to disperse the incoming sub region light with substantially uniform density over an entirety of a corresponding finite energy collection target region (col.2; lines:17-22).

With respect to claim 16, Genequand et al. further discloses that the predefined paths for received light are all substantially parallel (as shown in Figure 1) to a single received-light axis, and the predefined relative density distribution of the received light for each sub region is substantial equality over an entirety of the sub region (Figure 1).

In regards to claim 17, Genequand et al. further discloses that the lens is a secondary lens configured to receive light incident to a first portion of the lens (11,15)

that is substantially non-parallel (Figure 1) to light received incident to a different second portion of the lens (11,17).

With respect to claim 18, Genequand et al. discloses each sub region (39) has borders (13) that are substantially parallel to a corresponding border of the energy collection target region/inner conduit (27) (col.3; lines: 6-9).

With regard to claims 19-21, Genequand et al. discloses a plurality of different sub regions (39) that are each configured to direct light arriving along an expected path as shown in Figure1 toward a corresponding different sub target/axis (A) that is a portion less than a whole of the energy collection target region (27). There are two different sub regions (15,17) that are not adjacent in a length direction direct light to two different corresponding sub targets (31,27) that are adjacent in the length direction (Figure1). With respect to claim 21, he discloses that there is a plurality of discontinuous sub regions (39) (col.2; lines: 42-43) configured to direct expected incident light toward a specific common sub target/axis A that is a portion less than a whole of the energy collection target region/inner conduit (27) (col.3; lines: 7-9).

With respect to claims 22 and 23, it has been anticipated by Genequand et al. that each sub region is a tile/ slide assemblies (15,17), and adjacent tiles/ slide assemblies (15,17) are offset from each other with respect to an expected shadow line (col.2; lines: 17-22). Wherein each sub region is a tile/ slide assemblies (15,17) and each tile/slide assemblies (15,17) includes a plurality of Fresnel facets/slats (39) (col.2; lines: 39-42).

With respect to claims 24-26, Genequand et al. discloses each sub region/slide assembly (19) is a tile having a length that is  $L_T$  times a length of the energy collection target region/ inner conduit (27) and a width that is  $W_T$  times a width of the energy collection target region/ inner conduit (27), and  $L_T$  is not equal to  $W_T$ . The  $W_T$  of the lens is less than unity/a fraction of the total width, and light entering each sub region/slide assembly (19) in parallel diverges such that the light as shown in Figure 1 is distributed substantially uniformly across a full corresponding greater width of the energy collection target region/ inner conduit (27) as shown in Figure 1.

With respect to claims 27 and 28, Genequand et al. discloses a method of directing light to a solar energy collection device (col.1; lines: 21-29) to reduce inefficiencies caused by shadowing a portion of an overall light receiving region that is configured to direct such light to a solar energy collection device target (col.2; lines: 17-24), the method comprising:

- (a.) configuring a lens (11) to direct light incident on an overall light-receiving region toward a solar energy collection device target region/inner conduit (27) having a finite extent that is substantially smaller than the overall light-receiving region/outer conduit (31);
- (b.) configuring a plurality of light-receiving sub regions (39) of the overall light-receiving region to each individually receive light along expected paths (Figure 1) with an expected relative intensity distribution over such sub region (39); and
- (c.) configuring each of the light-receiving sub regions (39) to disperse such

received light with substantially uniform density over substantially an entirety of the solar energy collection device target region/inner conduit (27).

(d.) the lens (11) is configured to receive light substantially parallel to an incoming light axis over the overall light-receiving region (23), the expected paths for each sub region (39) are substantially parallel to the incoming light axis, and the expected relative intensity distribution over each sub region (39) is substantially uniform (Figure 1).

With respect to claim 29, Genequand et al. discloses each sub region (39) to have borders (13) that are substantially parallel to a corresponding border of the energy collection device target (27).

In regard to claims 30 and 31, Genequand et al. discloses a multiplicity of different discontinuous sub regions/slats (39) within each sub region (39), and e) configuring each of the sub regions/slats (39) to direct light incident thereon, following the expected path for the corresponding sub region (39), toward a corresponding different specific sub target/axis A (col. 2; lines: 52-55) that is a portion less than a whole of the energy collection device target (27).

With respect to claim 32, Genequand et al. discloses that each sub region is a tile/ slide assemblies (15,17) and each tile/slide assemblies (15,17) includes a plurality of Fresnel facets/slats (39) (col.2; lines: 39-42).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 5,6,11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cluff (4,771,764) in view of Genequand et al. (4,238,246).

In regard to claim 5, Cluff discloses the solar converter apparatus with a lens (Figure 1) as in claim 1 above, but fails to disclose the receiving region of the lens with a shadow toleration mechanism.

Genequand et al. discloses a photovoltaic conversion device (col.1; lines: 31-36) with a Fresnel lens (col.1; lines: 39-49), and further discloses the receiving region of the lens (11) with a shadow toleration mechanism (col. 2; lines:6-23) that coordinates light entering through the lens with target photovoltaic conversion device to avoid substantially non-uniform illumination of operating photovoltaic conversion devices due to such shadowing. Genequand et al. teaches that in order to obtain greater concentration of solar energy adjacent to the Fresnel lenses on each side, as set of reflective slates termed a slide assembly so as to reflect incident solar rays onto the same focus as the focus of the Fresnel lens, and are spaced from each other in such a way so as to not shadow the adjacent slide which is inclined at a slightly different angle

(col.2; lines: 11-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the Fresnel lens as a set of slats inclined at an angle of Genequand et al. to the solar conversion device of Cluff in order to obtain greater concentration of solar energy.

In regard to claim 6, Cluff discloses the solar converter apparatus with a lens (Figure 1) as in claim 1 above, but fails to disclose a plurality of sub regions of the lens to target photovoltaic conversion device. Genequand et al. discloses a photovoltaic conversion device (col.1; lines: 31-36) with a Fresnel lens (col.1; lines: 39-49), and further discloses a plurality of sub regions/slats (39) of the lens that each receive light substantially parallel (Figure 1) to the incoming light axis over a corresponding receiving sub region/slats (39), wherein each sub region/slat (39) is configured to disperse the received light substantially uniformly over an entire surface of at least one corresponding target photovoltaic conversion device/ inner conduit (27). Genequand et al. teaches that in order to obtain greater concentration of solar energy adjacent to the Fresnel lenses on each side, as set of reflective slates termed a slide assembly so as to reflect incident solar rays onto the same focus as the focus of the Fresnel lens, and are spaced from each other in such a way so as to not shadow the adjacent slide which is inclined at a slightly different angle (col.2; lines: 11-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the Fresnel lens as a set of slats inclined at an angle of Genequand et al. to the solar conversion device of Cluff in order to obtain greater concentration of solar energy.

In regard to claim 11, Cluff discloses the solar converter apparatus with a lens (Figure 1) as in claim 8 above, but fails to disclose light delivery axis of the lens.

Genequand et al. discloses a light delivery axis (Figure 1) and has an angle with respect to the incoming light axis that is equal to an average angle of light exiting the lens when such light entered the lens parallel to the incoming light axis and uniformly distributed over an entire surface of the lens (Figure 1). Genequand et al. further discloses in his Figure the lens to have the light delivery axis at a significantly non-zero angle with respect to the incoming light axis. Genequand et al. teaches that in order to obtain greater concentration of solar energy adjacent to the Fresnel lenses on each side, as set of reflective slates termed a slide assembly so as to reflect incident solar rays onto the same focus as the focus of the Fresnel lens, and are spaced from each other in such a way so as to not shadow the adjacent slide which is inclined at a slightly different angle (col.2; lines: 11-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the Fresnel lens as a set of slats inclined at an angle of Genequand et al. to the solar conversion device of Cluff in order to obtain greater concentration of solar energy.

In regard to claim 12, Cluff discloses the solar converter apparatus with a lens (Figure 1) as in claim 8 above, but fails to disclose a multiplicity of receiving sub regions that receive light and disperse the light uniformly over the entire surface of the target conversion device.

Genequand et al. discloses the lens (11) has a light receiving region, further comprising a multiplicity of receiving sub regions/slats (39) (col. 3; lines: 32-38) that

each receive light arriving parallel to the incoming light axis, and that each disperse such received light substantially uniformly over an entire surface of a target conversion device/ inner conduit (27). Genequand et al. teaches that in order to obtain greater concentration of solar energy adjacent to the Fresnel lenses on each side, as set of reflective slates/multiplicity of sub regions (termed a slide assembly) so as to reflect incident solar rays onto the same focus as the focus of the Fresnel lens, and are spaced from each other in such a way so as to not shadow the adjacent slide which is inclined at a slightly different angle (col.2; lines: 11-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the Fresnel lens as a set of slats inclined at an angle of Genequand et al. to the solar conversion device of Cluff in order to obtain greater concentration of solar energy.

7. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Genequand et al. (4,238,246) in view of Hein et al. (4,139,286).

Genequand et al. discloses a solar tracking apparatus with concentrating lens (col. 1; lines: 5-10), but fails to disclose primary and secondary lens. Hein et al. discloses a solar tracking apparatus with concentrating lens (col. 1; lines: 34-40) and further discloses a primary lens (10) between the secondary lens (16) in order to collect a source of solar energy/parallel beam of rays (20) as shown in Figure 1 (col. 4; lines: 37-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the secondary and primary lenses of Hein to the solar tracking apparatus of Genequand et al. in order to collect a source of parallel beam of solar rays.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asha Hall whose telephone number is 571-272-9812. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-6:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

*Barbara Gilliam*  
BARBARA GILLIAM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AJH  
*09.14*